

**OPEN ACCESS****ABHATH**

(Research Journal of Islamic Studies)

**Published by:** *Department of Islamic Studies, Lahore Garrison University, Lahore.*

ISSN (Print) : 2519-7932

ISSN (Online) : 2521-067X

January-June-2026

Vol: 11, Issue: 39

Email:[abhaath@lgu.edu.pk](mailto:abhaath@lgu.edu.pk)OJS:<https://ojs.lgu.edu.pk/index.php/abhath/index>

## Islam and Global Health: Islamic Principles for the Prevention of Pandemics

**Mannaz Razzaq**

M.Phil Scholar (Islamic Studies), Superior University, Lahore

[Mahnazjutt1103@gmail.com](mailto:Mahnazjutt1103@gmail.com)**Dr. Zahoor Ullah Al-Azhari**

Professor, Superior University, Lahore:

[zahoorullah@superior.edu.pk](mailto:zahoorullah@superior.edu.pk)**Maria Imtiaz**

M.Phil Scholar (Islamic Studies), Superior University, Lahore

[mariaimtiaz16@gmail.com](mailto:mariaimtiaz16@gmail.com) - <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5386-7043>

### **Abstract**

This study employs a qualitative literature synthesis combined with comparative case study analysis to examine the relationship between Islamic ethical principles and public health containment strategies. Drawing on peer-reviewed literature, legal rulings, and regional case evidence from Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Nigeria, the paper explores how Islamic legal concepts such as the preservation of life, public welfare, the prevention of harm, and ritual purity inform responses to pandemics. The findings suggest that faith-sensitive approaches are not peripheral to public health governance but are instead central to shaping compliance, legitimacy, and community resilience.

**Keywords:** Islamic ethics, Maqasid al-Shariah, Qualitative Literature Synthesis, Pandemic Prevention, Case Studies, Faith-Based Health Policy, Cross-Regional Analysis.

## 1. Introduction

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic on a global scale has brought to light the indispensable nature of cultural, ethical, and religious values in shaping public compliance with preventive health behaviors. While epidemiological models, vaccine efficacy trials, and institutional healthcare capacities are essential components of state-led containment strategies, the socio-religious reality within Muslim-majority nations demonstrates that Islamic values, decentralized religious structures, and established moral frameworks exert a profound, structural influence on how public health guidance, mobility restrictions, and biomedical interventions are received and adopted by populations<sup>1</sup>. In these communities, people's everyday life is deeply intertwined with religious practices supported by institutions, like the daily five congregational prayers, regular Friday sermons, and pilgrimages at different times of the year, that even health-related scientific directives would have to encounter very few changes to the inherited and established religious rituals. That meeting point of the two could be a situation where religious views serve as highly effective supportive tools for government policies or, on the contrary, a venue where the government enforcing health measures mistakenly comes to be seen as going against religious rights and duties<sup>2</sup>.

Even though the interaction between religious faith and compliance is a critical factor, the global health literature has a big gap in it. Several initial reflections were printed at the height of the pandemic, but very few research works have done a thorough review of qualitative data or quantitative studies to illustrate how Islamic law principles are put into practice in various socio-political environments.

---

<sup>1</sup> Aasim I. Padela and Farr A. Curlin, "Religion and Disparities: Considering the Influences of Islam on the Health of American Muslims," *Journal of Religion and Health* 52, no. 4 (2013): 1333–1345.

<sup>2</sup> Aldona Maria Piwko, "Islam and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Between Religious Practice and Health Protection," *Journal of Religion and Health* 60, no. 5 (2021): 3291–3308.

The existing literature frequently outlines foundational textual injunctions from the Qur'ān, the Prophetic Sunnah (traditions), and classical legal formulations regarding quarantine and hygiene, yet it consistently lacks empirical depth regarding how these principles navigate contemporary public health crises<sup>3</sup>. Consequently, international public health authorities and secular policymakers frequently struggle to design faith-sensitive, culturally tailored strategies that can effectively leverage religious legitimacy to optimize community compliance<sup>4</sup>.

To address these critical scholarly and practical limitations, this paper conducts a comprehensive qualitative literature synthesis and multi-regional case study analysis guided by three central research questions: (1) What are the dominant thematic intersections within scholarly literature linking Islamic jurisprudential ethics with modern pandemic prevention and mitigation frameworks? (2) How were core Islamic principles practically operationalized, contested, and legitimized within distinct socio-political contexts during public health emergencies? (3) How can qualitative insights and case-based evidence inform global public health policies to enhance institutional legitimacy, communication trust, and community compliance in Muslim contexts?

This article is organized initially by setting up a strong theoretical basis that harmonizes traditional Islamic legal maxims with the main elements of modern public health. Next, it explains its qualitative synthesis method and, after that, it closely examines, in a comparative manner, documented case studies from Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Nigeria. Lastly, it describes practical governance messages for the integration of faith-sensitive ways into global health, showing that the recognition of religious ethics is not, at best, an additional administrative

---

<sup>3</sup> Gibson O. Anugwom et al., "Bridging Science and Spirituality: The Intersection of Religion and Public Health in the COVID-19 Pandemic," *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 14 (2023): 1183234.

<sup>4</sup> Mohammed Ghaly and Mutaz al-Khatib, "COVID-19 and Pandemic Ethics in the Islamic Tradition: An Introduction," *Journal of Islamic Ethics* 7, nos. 1–2 (2023): 1–49.

luxury but a fundamental requirement for successful worldwide health containment<sup>5</sup>.

## 2. Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Alignment

This paper builds a triple-layer model to study the interaction of religion and public health in a systematic way that incorporates: (1) the main classical Islamic legal ethics principles, (2) the main pandemic prevention pillars of the World Health Organization (WHO), and (3) a reconceptualizing tool that visualizes religious jurisprudence as a main vehicle of normative public policy. Using faith and medicine as two separate systems is not the only way. Through this new approach, the Islamic legal concepts stand as native ethical resources that not only are in line with but also strongly endorse the modern biomedical measures.

At the apex of Islamic legal theory is the doctrine of Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah (the higher objectives of Islamic law), which asserts that all divine rulings are designed to preserve five universal human necessities: faith (dīn), life (nafs), lineage (nasl), intellect (‘aql), and property (māl)<sup>6</sup>. Within public health crises, the preservation of life (ḥifẓ al-nafs) assumes absolute normative primacy. Classical jurists universally agreed that when an external threat jeopardizes human life, individual ritual requirements can be modified, suspended, or deferred to avert mass mortality. Right next to it is the idea of maṣlaḥah (public welfare or collective interest), which is the very principle that legally opens the door to major changes in regulation by the state, such as border shutdown, commercial lockdown, and travel bans, on

---

<sup>5</sup> Mohammed Abdul Majid, “The Pandemic of COVID-19 from an Islamic Perspective,” *Journal of Islam and Science* 9, no. 2 (2022): 153–163.

<sup>6</sup> Md Abdul Qader, Mir Rumi Mustafizur Rahman, and Mokter Hossain, “Better Prevention of COVID-19 and Infectious Diseases in Islamic Culture,” *Al-Hayat: Journal of Islamic Education* 6, no. 1 (2022): 11–33.

the justification that the prevention of a large scale public harm outweighs the protection of small-scale individual personal comforts<sup>7</sup>.

Besides that, this paper relies on the basic legal principle *lā ḍarar wa lā ḍirār* (there shall be no inflicting of harm, nor reciprocating of harm) that is the foundation of Islamic bioethics<sup>8</sup>. In case of an outbreak, this legal principle turns one's health-related decisions from personal ones into moral and legal duties, with the main goal being to protect other people from the disease. Meanwhile, the notion of *ṭahārah* (ritual and physical purification) moves the regular hygiene standards from mere suggestions to be followed into spiritual supplements to be worshipped. As noted by Omer and Osman<sup>9</sup>, *ṭahārah* calls for thorough grooming at the personal level in the form of, for example, handwashing and ablution, which is consistent with the worldwide biosafety protocols.

This study cross-references these classical jurisprudential parameters with the WHO's established pandemic preparedness pillars, which focus on personal hygiene, physical distancing, mass gathering restrictions, vaccine uptake, and targeted risk communication<sup>10</sup>. By utilizing an integration lens, we demonstrate how these two distinct knowledge systems converge in practice. For instance, physical distancing mandates directly intersect with prophetic traditions regarding plague isolation; public vaccination

---

<sup>7</sup> World Health Organization, *Communicating Risk in Public Health Emergencies* (Geneva: WHO, 2020).

<sup>8</sup> Ezekiel Boro et al., "The Role and Impact of Faith-Based Organisations in the Management of and Response to COVID-19 in Low-Resource Settings," *Religion and Development* 1, no. 1 (2022): 132–145. Aasim I. Padela, *Maqasid al-Shariah and Biomedicine: Bridging Moral, Ethical, and Policy Discourses* (Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2025).

<sup>9</sup> Aasim I. Padela, *Maqasid al-Shariah and Biomedicine: Bridging Moral, Ethical, and Policy Discourses* (Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2025).

<sup>10</sup> Hamid Hasan, Salman Syed Ali, and Malik Muhammad, "Towards a Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Based Development Index," *Journal of Islamic Business and Management* 8, no. 1 (2018): 20–36.

campaigns find legal justification under the banner of *maṣlaḥah*; and the containment of misinformation aligns with the ethical imperative to protect public intellect and security. The framework explores how juristic tools, especially *ijtihād* (independent legal reasoning) and *qawā'id fiqhiyyah* (legal maxims), are continuously being used by modern Islamic scholars to figure out these points of friction, by giving due consideration to the age-old religious duties and the present day medical requirements <sup>11</sup>.

### 3. Qualitative Research Methodology

This research takes a strict qualitative research methodology that relies on a controlled qualitative literature review and is augmented by a comparative multi-regional case study investigation in order to achieve empirical validity, conceptual depth, and analytical transparency rigorously. After abandoning purely descriptive or dogmatic methods, this framework collects, codes, and systematically examines the peer-reviewed empirical studies, qualitative interviews, legal fatwas, and national policy records that have been published.

The data corpus was gathered across four primary global databases chosen for their comprehensive indexing of interdisciplinary, medical, and theological research: Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, and the Islamic Studies Index. The search logic used structured Boolean strings including: (Islam or Muslim or Sharia or Fatwa) and (Pandemic or Epidemic or COVID-19 or Plague) AND (Hygiene OR Quarantine OR Vaccination OR Public Health).

Strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to build a highly reliable data corpus. To ensure relevance, articles were required to: (1) be published in peer-reviewed journals, academic monographs, or institutional reports between 2000 and 2025; (2) offer explicit qualitative analysis of Islamic principles or legal rulings in direct relation to empirical

---

<sup>11</sup> Mohamad Azhan Taqiyaddin Arizan and Nor Musfirah Mohamad, "Application of the Fiqh Method 'No Harm to Oneself and Not Harmful to Others' (La Darar Wa La Dirar) in Dealing with Pandemic Issues of COVID-19 in Malaysia," *Malaysian Journal of Islamic Studies* 5, no. 2 (2021): 23–36.

public health measures; and (3) provide primary data, qualitative interviews, or documented case details on Muslim populations. Studies that were purely clinical, restricted to theological abstractions without social context, or published outside the English language were excluded to ensure analytical consistency. The search upshot was top-notch publications fulfilling all criteria. These publications underwent a thorough qualitative content analysis, following an inductive and deductive thematic coding framework.

#### **4. Qualitative Literature Synthesis and Thematic Mapping**

Analyzing qualitative peer-reviewed journals uncovered completely different insights into the time, location, and ideas of the spread of research. Time-wise, the writings show a striking, clean-cut double path. From 2000 to 2019, the academic concern was very scarce, with an average of less than five papers per year; in fact, these works were mostly historical and theoretical, dealing with classical plagues in the Umayyad or Ottoman times or exploring abstract bioethical dilemmas. But from 2020 to 2022, the literature exploded with more than 70% of the whole 25-year dataset being published during this short period. This change demonstrates a desperate attempt to deal with the operational problems faced by the COVID-19 pandemic as the discipline, which was in deep historical analysis, has gone into active public health work <sup>12</sup>.

Geographically, the data corpus shows a highly diverse distribution that reflects unique socio-political dynamics. The primary concentration of research (approx. 40%) stems from the Middle East (primarily Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and Qatar), where state-centralized jurisprudential bodies issued macro-level decrees that rapidly became national law. The second key node (approx. 35%) originates from South and Southeast Asia (predominantly Malaysia, Indonesia, and Pakistan), characterized by decentralized, highly influential community-based religious networks. The last quarter of the literature is about Western contexts (like the UK and USA), where qualitative investigations examine how Muslim minorities reconcile their

---

<sup>12</sup> Azhin Omer and Noor Dzuhaidah Osman, "Biosafety from the Maqasid Shariah Views," *Al-Maqasid* 4, no. 2 (2023): 18–30.

religious identity, their funeral rights, and their access to vaccinations in secular healthcare systems <sup>13</sup>.

In order to understand the conceptual structure of the literature, a thorough text-frequency analysis was done on the whole dataset to find the exact frequency and the role of the major Islamic legal principles. A qualitative co-word clustering analysis identified three primary thematic axes within the literature. Cluster 1 (Hygiene, Ṭahārah, and Transmission Prevention) focuses on how classical purity laws shift modern sanitation protocols from an external civil duty into an internalized spiritual obligation. Cluster 2 (Maqāsid, Vaccination, and Biomedical Ethics) focuses on the bioethical evaluation of medical therapies, examining how jurists use independent reasoning (ijtihād) to address vaccine composition, halal certification, and clinical triage frameworks <sup>14</sup>. Lastly, Cluster 3 (Community, Maṣlahah, and Compliance) looks into the behavioral side of collective welfare, revealing that people’s willingness to accept harsh regulatory measures may depend largely on whether the policies are presented through familiar religious concepts rather than just secular legal requirements <sup>15</sup>.

## 5. Cross-Regional Case Study Analysis

In order to see how these principles work, here the author attempts at a practical implementation by assessing statistical data of five different regional areas during the COVID-19 pandemic. The variation of different governments and religious authorities is utilized for the purpose of

---

<sup>13</sup> World Health Organization, *Communicating Risk in Public Health Emergencies* (Geneva: WHO, 2020).

<sup>14</sup> Aasim I. Padela, Mansur Ali, and Asim Yusuf, “Aligning Medical and Muslim Morality: An Islamic Bioethical Approach to Applying and Rationing Life-Sustaining Ventilators in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era,” *Journal of Islamic Ethics* 7, nos. 1–2 (2021): 129–164.

<sup>15</sup> Mohammed Ghaly and Mutaz al-Khatib, “COVID-19 and Pandemic Ethics in the Islamic Tradition,” 1.

understanding the actual occurrences of religious negotiations and the willingness of the community.

### **5.1 Saudi Arabia: Centralized Juridical Legitimacy and Hajj Suspension**

In March 2020, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia executed an unprecedented public health intervention by suspending the year-round Umrah pilgrimage and drastically restricting the annual Hajj pilgrimage to a minimal number of domestic residents. This dramatic disruption of a fundamental pillar of Islamic practice carried immense socio-religious and geopolitical weight. To implement this policy without provoking global theological backlash or domestic non-compliance, the state relied heavily on centralized juridical legitimacy. The Council of Senior Scholars released authoritative fatwas that changed the narrative of the restrictions from a political decision to a mandatory spiritual responsibility based on Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah, in particular the absolute duty of ḥifz al-nafs (preservation of life) <sup>16</sup>. By clearly pointing to the Prophetic statement about not entering or leaving a plague-affected area, the state authorities have not only brought to light the spiritual law but also the present-day epidemiological quarantine measures.

Qualitative reviews of official statements and public responses show that this framing secured widespread compliance across the global Muslim community, illustrating how centralized religious authority can rapidly legitimize severe public restrictions <sup>17</sup>.

### **5.2 Pakistan: Decentralized Contestation and Religious Negotiation**

In contrast to the centralized governance model of Saudi Arabia, Pakistan presented a highly complex environment characterized by

---

<sup>16</sup> Padela and Curlin, “Religion and Disparities,” 1333. Ellen Goodwin and Kathryn Kraft, “Mental Health and Spiritual Well-Being in Humanitarian Crises,” *Journal of International Humanitarian Action* 7, no. 1 (2022): 21.

<sup>17</sup> Padela, Ali, and Yusuf, “Aligning Medical and Muslim Morality,” 129. Nursafira Ahmad Safian et al., “The View of Maqasid al-Shariah on Vaccination: A Systematic Literature Review,” *Journal of Islamic* 8, no. 54 (2023): 12–28.

decentralized religious authority and initial institutional contestation. When the federal government attempted to enforce strict caps on mosque congregations during Ramadan in 2020, it faced severe pushback from independent clerical networks and street-level religious groups. Many local imams rejected secular lockdown orders, viewing mosque closures as an unjustified restriction on religious freedoms and public worship<sup>18</sup>. The state, confronted with extensive non-compliance, launched a series of complex negotiations with leading theological figures from major faith traditions. These talks culminated in a consensus-based 20-point operation matrix that modified ritual activities rather than completely shutting down places of worship. The religious leaders invoked the legal maxim *lā ḍarar wa lā ḍirār* (no harm) to justify the implementation of safety measures such as allowing physical distance between rows, removing carpets, and holding outdoor prayers. Such examples demonstrate that decentralized systems necessitate continuous, active, and participatory consensus-building efforts to effectively align public health guidelines with the religious culture of the community.

### **5.3 Malaysia: Institutional Synchronicity and Funerary Biosafety**

Malaysia demonstrated a highly successful model of institutional synchronicity, where state health infrastructure and Islamic administrative bodies operated in close coordination. A key example of this partnership occurred in the management of Islamic funerary rituals (*tajhīz al-janā'iz*) for deceased COVID-19 patients. Standard Islamic funerary rites require physical washing (*ghusl*) and close-contact shrouding of the body, which posed severe transmission risks to families and religious handlers. In order to address this, the National Council for Islamic Religious Affairs (MKI) worked together with the Ministry of Health to release organized biomedical-legal protocols. Referencing classical jurisprudence, they ruled that if physical washing was considered as a way of spreading harm, then it

---

<sup>18</sup> Hans Van Rostenberghe et al., “The Consistency of Islamic and Medical Perspectives in the Control of the COVID-19 Pandemic,” *Ulum Islamiyyah* 24, no. 1 (2021): 1–9.

could be replaced by dry purification (tayammum) over the body bag or it may be left out under the rule of necessity (ḍarūrah) <sup>19</sup>.

As shown by Arizan and Mohamad<sup>20</sup>, this administrative alignment successfully balanced strict infectious agent control with essential religious requirements, allowing families to achieve spiritual closure while maintaining strict public safety.

#### **5.4 Indonesia: Mass Civil Society Mobilization and Vaccine Advocacy**

Indonesia is a good example of how a large-scale community mobilization can be made to overcome the resistance to vaccination. When the COVID-19 vaccines were launched, the people were very concerned about whether the vaccines would be halal, especially as there was the use of porcine-derived stabilizing agents during manufacturing. To deal with this problem, the government collaborated with Indonesia's two biggest independent Islamic organisations, Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Muhammadiyah, which together have more than 100 million followers.

The Indonesian Ulema Council (MUI) conducted detailed investigations and issued clear fatwas declaring that even if certain components contained non-halal elements, the vaccines were permissible (halal/mubah) for public use under the rule of extreme necessity (ḍarūrah) and the preservation of life (ḥifẓ al-nafs) <sup>21</sup>. Besides that, most importantly, NU and Muhammadiyah were not only limited to giving out their text-based decrees; they also employed their wide networks of local schools, women's cooperatives, and clinics that were arranged to run vaccinations to people of the community. Qualitative assessment illustrated that the presentation of vaccination as a shared religious responsibility (farḍ kifāyah) not only lessened the public anxiety greatly but also led to faster national vaccine

---

<sup>19</sup> Mohamed Ahmed Syed et al., *Qatar: A Primary Health Care Case Study in the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic* (Geneva: World Health Organization, 2023).

<sup>20</sup> World Health Organization, *Communicating Risk in Public Health Emergencies*.

<sup>21</sup> Gibson O. Anugwom et al., "Bridging Science and Spirituality," 1183234.

uptake, thereby validating grassroots religious organizations as major public health partners <sup>22</sup>.

### **5.5 Nigeria: Localized Faith-Based Mediation in High-Skepticism Environments**

In northern Nigeria, public health programs are usually met with a lot of skepticism because of the history of the areas and the deep distrust towards government messages. This mistrust can be traced back to medical scandals and politico-social marginalization. When the pandemic struck, there was a lot of misinformation circulating about the source and intent of health measures as a result of this mistrust. To gain the confidence of the community, international health agencies alongside local health departments decided not to use the normal state channels but reached directly the community leaders, such as imams and traditional rulers, who are highly respected.

These local leaders launched targeted mosque-based education campaigns, anchoring public safety advice in familiar Qur'ānic injunctions and classical prophetic statements regarding hygiene and disease isolation <sup>23</sup>. By giving health-related advice within a trusted spiritual framework, these imams offered an important shield against dismissal of the political motives. Descriptive assessments show that this religious explanation was very successful in remote and neglected communities, confirming that when government messaging is not trusted, religious leaders can be a crucial link in maintaining public health partnerships <sup>24</sup>.

## **6. Discussion and Conceptual Synthesis**

The qualitative literature synthesis and comparative case studies demonstrate a clear alignment between classical Islamic ethical principles and modern public health requirements. Far from operating as isolated

---

<sup>22</sup> Fatimah Yusro Hashim and Mohamad Azhan Yahya, "COVID-19 Pandemic Management According to Prophetic Sunnah," *Jurnal Al-Sirat* 19, no. 2 (2021): 170–185.

<sup>23</sup> Arizan and Mohamad, "Application of the Fiqh Method," 23.

<sup>24</sup> Safian et al., "The View of Maqasid al-Shariah on Vaccination," 12. Boro et al., "The Role and Impact of Faith-Based Organisations," 132.

intellectual concepts, maxims like ṭahārah and maṣlahah function as active social drivers that directly shape public health behaviors. For instance, the traditional requirement for ṭahārah helps convert standard sanitary recommendations into deeply felt spiritual obligations, making personal hygiene practices more natural and sustainable within communities <sup>25</sup>. Likewise, the concept of maṣlahah ‘āmmah offers the legal and moral basis for imposing major limitations on personal liberties, as demonstrated by actions like shutting mosques or halting the international pilgrims, by demonstrating that safeguarding collective public health is morally more important than safeguarding individual daily activities <sup>26</sup>.

However, this cross-regional analysis also highlights that this alignment is rarely automatic and is often shaped by local socio-political factors. As seen in the contrasting experiences of Saudi Arabia and Pakistan, the structure of religious authority within a country heavily influences how easily public health mandates are accepted. Centralized religious systems allow for rapid, top-down policy endorsement, whereas decentralized systems require ongoing negotiation, consensus building, and active partnership with local leadership to manage public resistance effectively <sup>27</sup>. Furthermore, examples of vaccine ingredients in Indonesia and managing funerary rites in Malaysia reveal that successful public health intervention requires careful balancing — policymakers should actively respect essential religious sensibilities while finding valid jurisprudential routes through the rules of necessity (ḍarūrah) or harm reduction (lā ḍarar) <sup>28</sup>.

Theoretically, this study challenges traditional, purely secular public health frameworks, which often treat religion either as a passive cultural barrier or a source of irrational resistance. By demonstrating how Islamic legal reasoning directly reinforces epidemiological goals, this paper argues

---

<sup>25</sup> Azhin Omer and Noor Dzuhaidah Osman, “Biosafety from the Maqasid Shariah Views,” 18. Md Abdul Qader et al., “Better Prevention of COVID-19,” 11.

<sup>26</sup> Mohamed Ahmed Syed et al., *Qatar: A Primary Health Care Case Study*.

<sup>27</sup> Gibson O. Anugwom et al., “Bridging Science and Spirituality,” 1183234.

<sup>28</sup> Fatimah Yusro Hashim and Mohamad Azhan Yahya, “COVID-19 Pandemic Management,” 170. Safian et al., “The View of Maqasid al-Shariah on Vaccination,” 12.

for an updated behavioral health model that recognizes religion as a structural determinant of health behavior. In some societies where religion defines the way people live and their ethics, religious frameworks are the main language for interpreting risks, safety, and social obligations. Disregarding these aspects risks the credibility of health interventions. On the contrary, embedding the religious values may greatly enhance the strength and unity of the community in cases of disaster <sup>29</sup>.

## **7. Policy and Practical Implications**

The practical insights gained from this qualitative synthesis offer clear, actionable guidance for international healthcare administrators, national ministries, and global health agencies working within Muslim-majority nations and diaspora communities:

### **1. Co-Design Faith-Sensitive Risk Communication:**

Public health agencies should stop sending only secular and technical messages. Rather, they should work hand in hand with religious scholars to create risk communication. Referring to health rules through ethical concepts that a person is familiar with, for instance Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah and ḥifẓ al-nafs, can help give biomedical advice a strong spiritual foundation. Consequently, this leads to a big increase in public trust and following of rules <sup>30</sup>.

### **2. Establish Proactive Institutional Partnerships:**

Instead of only contacting faith communities during a crisis, public health departments should have ongoing relationships with religious councils and civil organizations. Creating regular consultation mechanisms will allow for rapid establishment and communication of legal consensus (fatwas) about ritual adaptation or vaccine safety upon emergency, thus avoiding public confusion and resistance <sup>31</sup>.

### **3. Utilize Local Places of Worship as Health Hubs:**

---

<sup>29</sup> Padela, *Maqasid al-Shariah and Biomedicine*.

<sup>30</sup> World Health Organization, *Communicating Risk in Public Health Emergencies*.

<sup>31</sup> Boro et al., “The Role and Impact of Faith-Based Organisations,” 132.

The case studies of Indonesia and Nigeria show that mosques and other local religious places are very much trusted by the people. Making use of these locations for the provision of sanitary materials, organizing public health education sessions, and running mobile vaccination clinics not only helps in winning the distrust of the institution but also in making health services more accessible to neglected or hesitant communities <sup>32</sup>.

#### **4. Conduct Faith-Sensitive Biosafety Training:**

Global health entities ought to create specific educational courses that train local spiritual leaders on elementary epidemiology. At the same time, public health officials are imparted knowledge about the basics of Islamic bioethics. Such a mutual understanding aids in avoiding cultural conflicts, harmonizes medical requirements with religious obligations, and guarantees that sensitive issues such as death rituals are handled in a safe and respectful manner <sup>33</sup>.

### **8. Limitations and Future Research Directions**

While this study provides a comprehensive qualitative synthesis, several limitations should be noted. First, the data corpus was limited to English-language peer-reviewed publications listed in global indexes like Scopus and PubMed. This focus may omit important, localized insights published in Arabic, Urdu, Persian, or Bahasa Indonesia, which are highly influential at regional levels but less accessible globally. Second, this research relies on the synthesis of secondary data sources, including published interviews, case records, and fatwas, rather than gathering new primary ethnographic data. This approach limits our ability to capture real-time, changing community-level dynamics or subtle theological debates occurring on the ground.

Furthermore, there is significant variation in how Islamic principles are interpreted and applied depending on local socio-political environments,

---

<sup>32</sup> Ellen Goodwin and Kathryn Kraft, "Mental Health and Spiritual Well-Being in Humanitarian Crises," 21.

<sup>33</sup> Fatimah Yusro Hashim and Mohamad Azhan Yahya, "COVID-19 Pandemic Management," 170.

meaning that insights from one region may not seamlessly apply to another. To address these limitations, future research should implement mixed-methods approaches that combine secondary synthesis with primary surveys and long-term ethnographic fieldwork. Besides that, carrying out comparative cross-religious studies could very well allow an understanding of Islamic bioethical frameworks in the context of a wider interfaith public health strategy. This will, without a doubt, add to global health governance from the point of view of diversity and cultural integration <sup>34</sup>.

## 9. Conclusion

This study provides a systematic qualitative synthesis and cross-regional analysis exploring the intersection of Islamic ethical jurisprudence and global public health containment strategies. By analyzing the scholarly literature published between 2000 and 2025, this paper demonstrates that core Islamic legal concepts such as the preservation of life (*ḥifẓ al-nafs*), public welfare (*maṣlahah*), the prevention of harm (*lā ḍarar wa lā ḍirār*), and physical purification (*ṭahārah*) are strongly aligned with modern epidemiological requirements, including quarantine protocols, physical distancing, vaccine uptake, and personal sanitation. By using case studies from Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Nigeria, the research reveals that these theological frameworks are social forces in action that not only influence public compliance but also help in guiding ritual adaptation and building community resilience during health crises.

The primary contribution of this research lies in establishing an integrated framework that bridges classical legal maxims with empirical public policy needs. The findings indicate that religious ethics should not be dismissed as external cultural variables but recognized as key determinants of health behavior and compliance. When international public health policies ignore or work against local religious values, they risk losing community trust and limiting the effectiveness of vital interventions. On the other hand,

---

<sup>34</sup>Aldona Maria Piwko, "Islam and the COVID-19 Pandemic," 3291. Rebecca Shalansky and Ross Upshur, "The Ethical Considerations of Primordial Pandemic Prevention from a One Health Perspective," *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine* 20, no. 1 (2025): 4.

health policies that recognize and incorporate faith-based considerations can tap into a potent moral language that enables them to transform strict safety rules into well-understood personal and spiritual obligations. At the end of the day, this research argues for a faith-integrated and inclusive approach to global health governance, revealing that respecting indigenous cultural and religious values is a fundamental component of creating effective, community-based responses to upcoming global health crises.

## Bibliography

1. Abdul Majid, Mohammed. 2022. "The Pandemic of COVID-19 from an Islamic Perspective." *Journal of Islam and Science* 9 (2): 153–163.
2. Al-Dawoody, Ahmed. 2017. "Islamic Law and International Humanitarian Law: An Introduction to the Main Principles." *International Review of the Red Cross* 99 (906): 995–1018.
3. Anugwom, Gibson O., Shahana Ayub, Tajudeen Basiru, Vishi Sachdeva, Nazar Muhammad, Anil Bachu, and Maxwell Trudeau. 2023. "Bridging Science and Spirituality: The Intersection of Religion and Public Health in the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 14: 1183234.
4. Arizan, Mohamad Azhan Taqiyaddin, and Nor Musfirah Mohamad. 2021. "Application of the Fiqh Method 'No Harm to Oneself and Not Harmful to Others' (La Darar Wa La Dirar) in Dealing with the Pandemic Issue of COVID-19 in Malaysia." *Malaysian Journal of Islamic Studies (MJIS)* 5 (2): 23–36.
5. Boro, Ezekiel, Tanvi Sapra, Jean-François de Lavison, Caroline Dalabona, Vinya Ariyaratne, and Agus Samsudin. 2022. "The Role and Impact of Faith-Based Organisations in the Management of and Response to COVID-19 in Low-Resource Settings: Policy & Practice Note." *Religion and Development* 1 (1): 132–145.
6. Catic, Nuray. 2023. "Islamic Ethical Considerations on Medical Decision-Making in Adolescence." Master's thesis, The University of Western Ontario.

7. Ghaly, Mohammed, and Mutaz al-Khatib. 2023. "COVID-19 and Pandemic Ethics in the Islamic Tradition: An Introduction." *Journal of Islamic Ethics* 7 (1–2): 1–49.
8. Goodwin, Ellen, and Kathryn Kraft. 2022. "Mental Health and Spiritual Well-Being in Humanitarian Crises: The Role of Faith Communities Providing Spiritual and Psychosocial Support during the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of International Humanitarian Action* 7 (1): 21.
9. Hasan, Hamid, Salman Syed Ali, and Malik Muhammad. 2018. "Towards a Maqāsid al-Sharī'ah Based Development Index." *Journal of Islamic Business and Management* 8 (1): 20–36.
10. Hashim, Fatimah Yusro, and Mohamad Azhan Yahya. 2021. "COVID-19 Pandemic Management According to Prophetic Sunnah." *Jurnal Al-Sirat* 19 (2): 170–185.
11. Oerther, Daniel B., and Roger Watson. 2020. "Risk Communication Is Important for Environmental Engineering during COVID-19." *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 146 (10): 01820002.
12. Omer, Azhin, and Noor Dzuhaidah Osman. 2023. "Biosafety from the Maqasid Shariah Views." *Al-Maqasid: The International Journal of Maqasid Studies and Advanced Islamic Research* 4 (2): 18–30.
13. Padela, Aasim I. 2025. *Maqasid al-Shariah and Biomedicine: Bridging Moral, Ethical, and Policy Discourses*. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT).
14. Padela, Aasim I., Mansur Ali, and Asim Yusuf. 2021. "Aligning Medical and Muslim Morality: An Islamic Bioethical Approach to Applying and Rationing Life-Sustaining Ventilators in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era." *Journal of Islamic Ethics* 7 (1–2): 129–164.
15. Padela, Aasim I., and Farr A. Curlin. 2013. "Religion and Disparities: Considering the Influences of Islam on the Health of American Muslims." *Journal of Religion and Health* 52 (4): 1333–1345.
16. Piwko, Aldona Maria. 2021. "Islam and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Between Religious Practice and Health Protection." *Journal of Religion and Health* 60 (5): 3291–3308.
17. Qader, Md Abdul, Mir Rumi Mustafizur Rahman, and Mokter Hossain. 2022. "Better Prevention of COVID-19 and Infectious

- Diseases in Islamic Culture: A Study of Islam and Health.” *Al-Hayat: Journal of Islamic Education* 6 (1): 11–33.
18. Safian, Nursafira Ahmad, Shafizan Mohamed, Wan Norshira Wan Mohd Ghazali, Ainul Syahidah Binti Shaari, Ahmad Bin Muhammad Husaini, and Mohd Helmi Yusoh. 2023. “The View of Maqasid al-Shari’ah on Vaccination: A Systematic Literature Review.” *Journal of Islamic* 8 (54): 12–28.
  19. Shalansky, Rebecca, and Ross Upshur. 2025. “The Ethical Considerations of Primordial Pandemic Prevention from a One Health Perspective.” *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine* 20 (1): 4.
  20. Syed, Mohamed Ahmed, Hanan Al Mujalli, Mariam Ali Abdulmalik, Samya Ahmad Al Abdulla, and Mohamed Ghaith Al Kuwari. 2023. *Qatar: A Primary Health Care Case Study in the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
  21. Van Rostenberghe, Hans, Mossad Abdelhak Shaban Mohamed, Noraida Ramli, Nor Rosidah Ibrahim, Manar Mossad, and Taufiq Hidayat. 2021. “The Consistency of Islamic and Medical Perspectives in the Control of the COVID-19 Pandemic.” *Ulum Islamiyyah* 24 (1): 1–9.
  22. World Health Organization. 2020. *Communicating Risk in Public Health Emergencies*. Geneva: WHO.
  23. Zahari, Nur Aqila Mohd, and Mohd Hafiz Safiai. 2025. “Maqasid Sharia and the Biomedical Ethics of E-Cigarettes: A Contemporary Islamic Legal Assessment.” *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review* 4 (1): 295–318.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License